| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 10/621,439 | MUROZONO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Anthony Fick | 1753 | |
| All Participants: | rticipants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u> | | |
| (1) Anthony Fick. | (3) | | |
| (2) Michael Fogarty. | (4): | | |
| Date of Interview: 22 January 2007 | Time: <u>12:00 PM</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | · . | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI Mr. Fogarty confirmed that no reply had been sent to the previous | | S DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview | |
| | • | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| • | | · | |
| lutte Tri | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative S | ignature - if appropriate) | |